भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सस्कार)

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA

(Ministry of Road Transport and Hignways, Govt. of India)



ई-2/167, अरेरा कॉलोनी, हबीबगंज रेल्वे स्टेशन के पास, भोपाल (म.प्र.) 462 016

E-2/167, Arera Colony, Near Habibganj Railway Station, Bhopal (M.P.)-462 016

NHAI/RO-MP/KNW/Pipeline/MLIS/Daudwa/2022/ 45836

दश्भाष/Phone : 0755-2426638, फैक्स/Fax : 0755-2426698, ई-मेल/E-mail : robhopal@nhai.org

Date: 16.02.2022 REVISED

BHARATMALA



4-laning of Dhangaon-Boregaon section of NH-347BG & 753L (Indore to Boregaon - Pkg IV) Sub: Design Ch. 81.000 to 139.000 (Length 58.000 Km) under Bharatmala Pariyojna Phase-I in the State of Madhya Pradesh on Hybrid Annuity Mode - Micro Lift Irrigation Scheme crossing of Water Pipe Line at Ch. 84+180 at Daudwa Village – Reg.

Ref: PD, PIU-Khandwa letter no. NHAI/PIU-KNW/Pkg-4/ND/2021-22/517 dated 07.02.2022.

Vide above reference proposal for Micro Lift Irrigation Scheme crossing of Water Pipe Line at Ch. 84+180 at Daudwa Village.

- As per Ministry vide OM No. RW/NH-33044/29/2015/S&R (R) dated 22.11.2016, the Highways Administrator will make available the proposal seeking permission for utility laying for public comments for 30 days on ground of public interest.
- In view of the above the comments of public are invited on captioned proposal and the same should reach to below mentioned address till 17.03.2022 beyond which no comments will be considered.

The Highway Administrator O/o Regional Officer, National Highways Authority of India E-2/167, Arera Colony, Near Habibgani Railway Station, Bhopal (MP)-462016 E-mail ID:robhopal@nhai.org

This issues with the approval of Regional Officer cum Highway Administration.

DGM (Tech.)

Copy to:

(i) Web Admin, NHAI-HQ-with request for uploading on the NHAI website.

(ii) The Senior Technical Director, NIC, Transport Bhawan, New Delhi-110001 for uploading on Ministry's Website.

(iii) The Project Director, NHAI, PIU-Khandwa (M.P.) for information.

(iv) The Executive Engineer, Narmada Development Division No. 21, Sanawad (M.P.).

प्रधान कार्यालय : जी 5 एवं 6, सेक्टर 10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110 075 दूरभाष : 91-11-2507 4100/2507 4200 वेबसाइट : http://www.nhai.org Corporate Office: G-5 & 6, Sector-10, New Delhi-110 075 Phone: 91-11-2507 4100/2507 4200 Website: http://www.nhai.org

	CHECK L	131	 -
S.NO	Item	Information/Status	Reamrks
		Permission of Crossing of	
	INCHE ALTONIO DIAGON	Irrigation water pipeline at	
		location at chainage- 84.180	
		Km on NH 347BG	
		Narmada Valley Development	
.1	Name and Address of Applicant	Authority, ND Division No. 21,	
. J.	Name and Address of Applicant	Sanawad, Khargone,	
		Madhya Pradesh	
.2	National Highway Number	NH 347BG	
.3	State	Madhya Pradesh	
.4	Location	Daudwa	
.5	(Chainage in KM)	84.180 Km	8
.6	Length in Meter		
.7	Width of available ROW	Existing ROW	
	(a) Left side from center line toward increasing	30.0 Mtr	
	chainage /km direction	30.0 Mtr	
	(b)Right side from center line toward increasing	30.0 Mtr	
	chainage/KM Direction	30.0 Mtr	
.8	Proposal to lay underground Water pipeline		
Ī	(a) Left side from center line toward increasing	Nich Annali calala	
	chainage /km direction	Not Applicable	
	(b) Right side from the center line increasing	N1=4 A121-1-	
	chainage/km direction	Not Applicable	
.9	Proposal to acquire land		
	(a) Left side form center line	Not Applicable	
	(b)Right side from center line	Not Applicable	
10	Whether proposal is in the same side where land is	NI A l' ll	······································
10	not to be acquired	Not Applicable	
	If not then where to lay the pipe	Across the road	
11	Details of already laid services, if any, along the	NI-4 A I' I-I	
	proposal route	Not Applicable	1
12	Number of lanes (2/4/6/8 lanes) existing	4 Lanes	
13	Proposed number of lanes (2 lane with paved		
13	shoulder 4/6/8 lanes	•	
	Services Road existing or not	Not Existing	
	f yes then which side		-
	(a) Left side form center line		
	b)Right side from center line		
15 I	Proposed Service Road	Not Applicable	
	a) Left side form center line		
(b)Right side from center line		
1	Whether proposal to lay cable is after the service		
L6 r	oad or between the service road and main carriage	Not Applicable	
1	vay	FF	
	Whether carrying of water pipeline has been	15.7	
	proposed on highway bridges. If yes then mention	NO	
	nethodology proposed for the same.		
	Whether carrying of water pipeline has been		
	proposed on parapet /any part bridges. If yes then	NO	
- P	nention methodology proposed for the same.	NO	

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
Narmada Development Dn. No. 21
SANAWAD (M.P.)

For-LNMIPFI. in Asserted Leich HEC LT.L.)

·	1.0 1.1		
	Is crossing of the road involved if yes, it shall be		
1.19	either encased in pipes or through structure of	Yes at One Location	
1,17	conduits specially built for that purpose at the	100010010010	
	expense of the agency owing the line.		
	a) Whether the existing drainage structures are	NO	
	allowed to carry utility pipeline		
	b) Is it on a line normal to NH	Yes	
	c)What is the distance of crossing the utility		
	pipelines from the existing structure. Crossing shall	Not Applicable	
	not be too near the existing structure on the NH.	Not Applicable	
	The minimum distance being 15 Meter		
-	d)The casing pipe carrying the Steel Casing Pipe as		
	per IS utility line shall be of steel,cast iron or	2	
	reinforced concrete and have adequate strength.	Steel casing pipe as per IS 3589	
	Mention type of casting	a	
	e)End of the casing/conduit pipeYes shall be sealed		
	ejend of the cashig/conduct piperes sharibe scaled	Yes	
	from outside, so that it doe not act as adrainage	100	
	path.		
	f) End of the casing/conduit pipe should, as	Yes	
	minimum, extend from drain to drain in cuts & toe	165	
	of slope in fills	Minimum 1.2 Mtr Relow the	
	g)The installation of casing pipe Minimum 1.2 mtr		
	Below shall be as per attachment-1 as the existing	existing road level and shall be	
	road level and per ministry's guidelines dt.	at minimum 0.3m below the	
	22.11.2016	drain inverts	
10	h)Mention the methodology Trenchless technology	*	
	proposed for road for the method proposed Water	,	G.
ĺ	pipeline. Crossing shall be boring method (HDD)	Trenchless technology Method	
i	(Trenchless technology) specially where the	Treficiless technology Method	
ĺ	existing road pavement is of cement concrete of		
	dense bituminous concrete type		
1 20	Whathar the proposal satisfies the following		
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following		
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a)Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable		
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge	Not Applicable	
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr	Not Applicable	
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge	Not Applicable	
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width, duly keeping in view the future widening		
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width, duly keeping in view the future widening b) Where land is yet to be acquired for 4-laning and		
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width, duly keeping in view the future widening b) Where land is yet to be acquired for 4-laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided		
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width, duly keeping in view the future widening b) Where land is yet to be acquired for 4-laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of		
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width, duly keeping in view the future widening b) Where land is yet to be acquired for 4-laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width in	Not Applicable	
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width, duly keeping in view the future widening b) Where land is yet to be acquired for 4-laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width in	Not Applicable	
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width, duly keeping in view the future widening b) Where land is yet to be acquired for 4-laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width in that side of existing carriageway where extra land is	Not Applicable	
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width, duly keeping in view the future widening b) Where land is yet to be acquired for 4-laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width in that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4-laning.	Not Applicable	
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width, duly keeping in view the future widening b) Where land is yet to be acquired for 4-laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width in that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4-laning. c) Where the widening plan for 4 laning is not yet	Not Applicable	
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width, duly keeping in view the future widening b) Where land is yet to be acquired for 4-laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width in that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4-laning. c) Where the widening plan for 4 laning is not yet decided and available ROW is round 30 mtr or less,	Not Applicable	
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width, duly keeping in view the future widening b) Where land is yet to be acquired for 4-laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width in that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4-laning. c) Where the widening plan for 4 laning is not yet decided and available ROW is round 30 mtr or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for	Not Applicable	
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width, duly keeping in view the future widening b) Where land is yet to be acquired for 4-laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width in that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4-laning. c) Where the widening plan for 4 laning is not yet decided and available ROW is round 30 mtr or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying of cable/duct. This could be	Not Applicable	
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width, duly keeping in view the future widening b) Where land is yet to be acquired for 4-laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width in that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4-laning. c) Where the widening plan for 4 laning is not yet decided and available ROW is round 30 mtr or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying of cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 mtr to 2 mtr of utility corridor at the	Not Applicable	
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width, duly keeping in view the future widening b) Where land is yet to be acquired for 4-laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width in that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4-laning. c) Where the widening plan for 4 laning is not yet decided and available ROW is round 30 mtr or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying of cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 mtr to 2 mtr of utility corridor at the edge of the existing ROW, duly keeping in view the	Not Applicable	
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width, duly keeping in view the future widening b) Where land is yet to be acquired for 4-laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width in that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4-laning. c) Where the widening plan for 4 laning is not yet decided and available ROW is round 30 mtr or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying of cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 mtr to 2 mtr of utility corridor at the edge of the existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible widening plan.	Not Applicable	
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width, duly keeping in view the future widening b) Where land is yet to be acquired for 4-laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width in that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4-laning. c) Where the widening plan for 4 laning is not yet decided and available ROW is round 30 mtr or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying of cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 mtr to 2 mtr of utility corridor at the edge of the existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible widening plan. d) Where ROW is restricted and adequate only to	Not Applicable	
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width, duly keeping in view the future widening b) Where land is yet to be acquired for 4-laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width in that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4-laning. c) Where the widening plan for 4 laning is not yet decided and available ROW is round 30 mtr or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying of cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 mtr to 2 mtr of utility corridor at the edge of the existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible widening plan. d) Where ROW is restricted and adequate only to accommodate the carriageway central verge,	Not Applicable Not Applicable	
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width, duly keeping in view the future widening b) Where land is yet to be acquired for 4-laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width in that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4-laning. c) Where the widening plan for 4 laning is not yet decided and available ROW is round 30 mtr or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying of cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 mtr to 2 mtr of utility corridor at the edge of the existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible widening plan. d) Where ROW is restricted and adequate only to accommodate the carriageway central verge, shoulder and drains(eg. Highway in cutting through	Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable	
1.20	Whether the proposal satisfies the following a) Where the ROW is more than Not Applicable 45mtr then the duct cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width, duly keeping in view the future widening b) Where land is yet to be acquired for 4-laning and the position of new carriageway has been decided then the cable shall be laid at the edge of right of way within the utility corridor of 2 Mtr width in that side of existing carriageway where extra land is not proposed to be acquired for 4-laning. c) Where the widening plan for 4 laning is not yet decided and available ROW is round 30 mtr or less, a judicious decision would need to be taken for permitting the laying of cable/duct. This could be within 1.5 mtr to 2 mtr of utility corridor at the edge of the existing ROW, duly keeping in view the possible widening plan. d) Where ROW is restricted and adequate only to accommodate the carriageway central verge,	Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable	

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER Narmada Development Dn. No. 21 SANAWAR (M.P.) Harman Control

(I. L.)

	e) Where land strip of utility corridor can not be		
	conveniently here marked (available ROW	Not Applicable	
	restricted to the Toe of the embankment) for laying	//our.pp.	
	of the cable/duct, the permission may be refused.		
2	Documents / Drawing enclosed with the proposal	Yes, Enclosed	
	Cross section showing the size of trench for open		
	trenching method is it normal size 1.65m	Not Applicable	
2.1	deep/0.05m wide) Should not be given then 1.2m in	Not Applicable	
	width in multiple ducts.		
	Cross section showing the size of pith and location	Ves England	
2.2	of cable of thrust boring method/ pushing method.	Yes, Enclosed	
	Strip plan/Route plan showing the OFC, chainage,		
	width of ROW, distance of proposed, cable from the	Not Applicable	
2.3	edge of ROW, important mile stone, intersections	Not Applicable	i
	cross drainage work etc.		
2.4	Methodology for laying of Pipe	By trenchless Technology	
<u> </u>	open trenching method (may be allowed in utility		
	corridor only where pavement is neither cement		
2.4.1	concrete no dense bituminous concrete type) if yes	Not Applicable	
1	concrete no dense bitummous concrete type) if yes		
	what is the methodology of refilling of trench a) The trench width should be at least 30 Cms but		
ļ	a) The trench width should be at least 50 this but	Not Applicable	
	not more than 60cms wider than the outer diameter	NotApplicable	1
	of the pipe		
	b) For filling of the trench bedding shall be to a		
	depth of not less than 30 cm It cell consists of		
	granular material free of lumps, clods, cobbles and	Not Applicable	
1	graded to yield firm surface without sudden change		
ļ	in bearing value, unsuitable soil and rock edges		
	should be excavated and replaced by selected		
	material.		
	c) the backfill shall be completed in two stages i.	N -	
i	side fill to the level of top of the pipe and 2. Overfill	Not Applicable	
ł	to the bottom of the road crust		
	d) the side fill shall consists of granular material		
	laid in 15 cms layer each consolidated by		
<u> </u>	mechanical tempering and controlled addition of		-
	moisture to 95% of The proctor's density overfill	Not Applicable	
	shall be compacted to the same density as the		
	material that has been removed. Consolidation by		
	saturation of ponding with not be permitted.		
	e) The road crust shall be built to the same strength	Approach Colonial Co.	
1	as existing crust on either side of the trench. Care	Not Applicable	
	shall be taken to avoid the formation of a dip at the		1
	trench.		
	f) The excavation shall be protected by flagman,		
	signs, barricades and proper lights during night	Not Applicable	
	hours.		
	g) If required, a diversion shall be constructed at		
	ble company of against owing the utility line	Not Applicable	
	the expense of agency owing the utility line.	Yes	
2.4.2	2 Thrust boring method/ pushing method		
2.4.3	Laying pipe Through CD Works and Method of	Not Applicable	
	llaying (whether to be nully outside parapet)	Yes, Enclosed	
3	Draft license Agreement signed by two witnesses	165, Efficioseu	

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
Narmada Development Dn. No. 21
SANAWAD (M.P.)

A.

3.1	License fee estimate as per ministry's guidelines issued vide circular no. RW/NH/33044/29/2015/S&R dated 22.11.2016.	Yes, Enclosed	/
4	Whether the undertaking for submission of Performance Security as per ministry's guidelines issued vide circular no. RW/NH/33044 /29 /2015 /S&R dated 22.11.2016 is provided	Not Applicable	
5	Affidavit / Undertaking form the applicant for following is to be furnished	Yes, Enclosed	
5.1	Undertaking Not to damage to other utility. If damage then to pay the losses either to NHAI or to the concern agency	Yes, Enclosed	
5.2	Undertaking for renewal of Bank Guarantee as and when asked by MoRTH/NHAI	Yes, Enclosed	
5.3	Undertaking for Confirmation all standard condition Ministry's guidelines.	Yes, Enclosed	
5.4	Undertaking for indemnity against all damage and claims	Yes, Enclosed	
5.5	Undertaking for management if traffic movement during laying of utility line without hampering the traffic.	Yes, Enclosed	
5.6	Undertaking that if any claim is raised by the concessionaire/contactor then the same has to be paid by the applicant	Yes, Enclosed	
5.7	Undertaking that prior approval of the NHAT/MORTH shall be obtained before undertaking any work of installation, shifting of repairs, or alterations to the utility line will be borne by the applicant agency owing the line.	Yes, Enclosed	
5.8	Undertaking that expenditure if any incurred by NHAT/MORTH for repairing any damage caused to the NH by laying maintenance or shifting of the utility line will be borne by the applicant agency owing the line	Yes, Enclosed	
5.9	Undertaking that text of the licence is per verbatim of format issued by MORTH vide circular No. RW/NH/33044 /29 /2015 /S&R dated 22.11.2016	Yes, Enclosed	
5.11	Undertaking for shifting of utility as and when asked by MORTH/NHAI	Yes, Enclosed	
5.12	Iformat.		
V	We do undertake that I will relocate Yes; Enclosed service road/approach road/utility at my/our own cost notwithstanding the permission granted within such time as will be stipulated by NHAI/MORTH for future six Laning or/any Other development	Yes, Enclosed	
6	Who will sign the agreement on behalf Executive Engineer, N D of applicant agency? Power of attorney Division No.21, Sanawad to sign the agreement is available or not?	Executive Engineer, ND Division No.21, Sanawad	
7	Power of attorney in favour of authorized signatory.	<u> </u>	
8	Copy of DOT License.		

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
Narmada Development On. No. 21
SANAWAU (M.P.)

Certificate that the proposal is confirming of all standard condition issued vide MORTH Circular No. RW/NH/33044 /29 /2015 /S&R dated 22.11.2016		
Certificate from the TL in the following format (i)"It is certified that any other location of the utility bipeline would be extremely difficult and unreasonably costly and the installation of utility bipeline within ROW will not adversely affect the design, stability and traffic safety of the Highways nor the likely future improvements such as widening of the carriage with easing of curve"	Endosed	
a) where feasibility is available, I do certify that there will be no hindrance to propose six-Laning passed on feasibility report considering proposed	NA	·
(b) in case feasibility report is not available "I do certify that sufficient ROW is available at site for accommodating proposed Six-Laning	NA	
If NH section proposed to be taken up by NHAI/PWD on BOT basis-a clause is to be inserted in the agreement. "The permitted highway on which licensee has been granted the right to lay cable/duct has also been granted is a right of way to the concessionaire under the concession agreement dated for up gradation ofsection from Km to Km of NH No on build, operate and transfer basis and therefore, the licensee shall honour the same	NA	
Who will be supervise the work of laying of utility		
a) On behalf of the applicant	Division No.21, Sanawad	
b) On behalf of the NHAI	Independent Entirear	
Who will ensure that the defects in road portion after laying of OFC are corrected and if not corrected then what action will be taken.	7	
a) On behalf of the applicant	Division No.21, Sanawad	
b) On behalf of the NHAI	Independent Engineer	
Who will pay claims for damages done/disruption in working of concessionaire if asked by the concessionaire?	Applicant	
If any previous approval is accorded for laying of cable then Photocopy of register of records of permissions accorded to be enclosed.	Not Applicable	
The sound of the second of the	dertificate from the TL in the following format (i)"It is certified that any other location of the utility dipeline would be extremely difficult and impreasonably costly and the installation of utility dipeline within ROW will not adversely affect the lesign, stability and traffic safety of the Highways for the likely future improvements such as videning of the carriage with easing of curve" ii) For Six Laning a) where feasibility is available, I do certify that there will be no hindrance to propose six-Laning distructures at the said location b) in case feasibility report is not available "I do distructures at the said location of the extraction proposed Six-Laning for the sufficient ROW is available at site for accommodating proposed Six-Laning for the agreement. "The permitted highway on which idensee has been granted the right to lay sable/duct has also been granted is a right of way to the concessionaire under the concession agreement diated	retrificate from the TL in the following format (i)"It is certified that any other location of the utility ipeline would be extremely difficult and mreasonably costly and the installation of utility ipeline within ROW will not adversely affect the lesign, stability and traffic safety of the Highways for the likely future improvements such as videning of the carriage with easing of curve" (ii) For Six Laning a) where feasibility is available, I do certify that here will be no hindrance to propose six-Laning assed on feasibility report considering proposed tructures at the said location (b) in case feasibility report is not available "I do certify that sufficient ROW is available at site for eccommodating proposed Six-Laning (for the sufficient ROW is available at site for eccommodating proposed to be taken up by NHAI/PWD on BOT basis-a clause is to be inserted in the agreement. "The permitted highway on which icensee has been granted the right to lay vable/duct has also been granted is a right of way to the concessionaire under the concession agreement lated

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
Narmada Development Dn. No. 21
SANAWAD (2.17)

Af

Candare

BHIKANGAON-BINJALWARA MICRO LIFT IRRIGATION SCHEME
MS PIPE LINE ROAD CROSSING AT 89.30KM (Approx.) TOWARDS BURHANPUR (NH-347BG (OLD SH-27))
PLAN OF THE OFFSET DISTANCE OF NEARBY STRUCTURE



